

#### UNDERSTANDING IRB REVIEW PROCESSES

This handout provides a side-by-side comparison of the different types of IRB review processes under the Common Rule (45 CFR 46). It is intended to help Councils and sub-recipients recognize what each pathway looks like, how long it typically takes, and who is involved in the review. While this tool is designed to support decision-making, it should not replace consultation with an IRB or institutional compliance office when questions arise.

#### DIMENSION

# HUMAN SUBJECTS DETERMINATION

#### EXPEDITED REVIEW

#### FULL IRB REVIEW

#### Definition

Assessment of whether an activity meets the definitions of research and human subject in 45 CFR 46.102.

Review pathway for **minimal risk** projects that fall into categories listed in 45 CFR 46.110.

Required for greater than minimal risk projects or those involving vulnerable populations under 45 CFR 46.111.

## What It Looks Like

- Short form (1–2 pages).
- Investigator describes purpose, population, and data.
- Results in a "Not Human Subjects Research" letter if exempt.

- Standard IRB application with protocol, data tools, and consent forms if applicable.
- Comprehensive application package with protocol narrative, recruitment, detailed consent, risk/benefit analysis.

#### **Who Reviews**

Compliance office or IRB staff (administrative review).

IRB chair or designated reviewer.

Full IRB committee at a scheduled meeting.

### **Typical Timeline**

A few days to 1 week.

 $\sim$ 2–4 weeks.

1–3 months.

